		RECRUIT-	CASE		ASSESSMENT		AUTHOR'S
REFERENCE	DESIGN	MENT	DEFINITION	SUBJECTS	TOOLS	RESULTS	CONCLUSIONS
Kenworthy OT,	Repeated	Not	PTA* .25-8	Total: N = 6	NST* & BKB* lists	3-way	Children with
Klee T, Tharpe	Measures (2 x 3 x	reported.	kHz.*			interaction	severe-profound
AM. Speech	3): 2 types of			Aged 8–12 years	Recorded on audio	was	unilateral
recognition	speech materials, 3		Unaffected ear:		tape (in acoustically	significant.	sensorineural
ability of	listening conditions,		0–15 dB* HL.*	3 boys, 3 girls	untreated		hearing loss
children with	3 audiological				classroom and	Simple main	experienced a
unilateral	recommendations		Affected ear:	With hearing loss:	recorded at a	effects and	significant
sensorineural	using multiple		56-120+ dB	N = 6	manikin's head)	individual	improvement in
hearing loss as	analysis of variance		HL.		simulating 3	analyses	speech recognition
a function of				Controls: N/A	listening conditions	performed.	ability under some
amplification,	Compared		UHL* for		encountered in a		listening conditions
speech stimuli	3 audiological		minimum of 4	Flat UHL 56-120	classroom—MD,	5 of the 6	as a result of
and listening	recommendations:		years	dB HL (5 subjects	MI, and MS/ON,	children	listening to speech
condition. Ear	(1) Unaided			right ear affected).	with the 3	showed	through an FM
Hear.	(2) CROS*		No recurrent		audiological	statistically	system.
1990;11(4):264	(3) Personal FM*		otitis media	No prior	recommendations	significant	
<b>−</b> 70.	system			experience with	(unaided, CROS,	gains in	The findings of this
			Normal	amplification.	and FM).	speech	study provide some
	3 listening		tympanograms			recognition	justification for
	conditions:			5 subjects at	Tapes presented to	scores with	recommending use
	(1) MD*			grade level, but 4	children seated in	the FM system	of an FM system in
	(2) MI*			had behavioral or	sound-treated	for the BKB	the academic
	(3) MS/ON*			academic	booth via	lists as	setting for children
				difficulties; 1 had	headphones.	compared to	with severe-
				repeated a grade.		the unaided	profound UHL who
						condition (6th	are experiencing
				All scored above		child had the	academic difficulty.
				10 <sup>th</sup> percentile on		least severe	
				receptive and		loss: 56 dB	Field studies are
				expressive		therefore,	needed.
				subscales of a		might not have	
				language		needed the	
				screening test.		FM system).	

<sup>\*</sup> CROS = contralateral routing of signal; FM = frequency modulated; MD = monaural direct; MI = monaural indirect; MS/ON = midline signal/omnidirectional noise; PTA = pure tone average; kHz = kilohertz; dB = decibel; HL = hearing level; UHL = unilateral hearing loss; NST = Nonsense Syllable Test; BKB = Bench Standard Sentence lists

REFERENCE	DESIGN	RECRUIT- MENT	CASE DEFINITION	SUBJECTS	ASSESSMENT TOOLS	RESULTS	AUTHOR'S CONCLUSIONS
Kopun JG,	Purpose: To	Not reported	Not reported	Total: N = 25	Probe-	No significant	Only CROS, non
Stelmachowicz	examine the	140t reported	140t reported	10tal. 11 – 25	microphone	difference in	occluding ear
PG, Carney E,	attenuation			Adults:	used to	attenuation between	molds should be
Schulte L.	characteristics of			N = 10	measure	any age groups in the	used with
Coupling of FM	sound delivery			11 = 10	attenuation	study.	unilateral hearing
systems to	options that provide			Children:	from coupling	otady.	loss.
individuals with	different degrees of			N = 15	devices.	Tube fitting provided	10001
unilateral	ear canal occlusion					<5 dB* of attenuation	Non-occluding is
hearing loss. J	in adults and			Controls: N/A	17 frequencies	at all frequencies	defined as no
Speech Hear	school-aged				sequentially	tested.	greater than 30%
Res.	children.			10 adults	spaced from .2		of the ear canal
1992;35(1):201				(aged 20-50	to 6 kHz*	Headphones	as measured in
<b>-7</b> .	5 coupling devices			years)	presented at	provided <5 dB of	the study.
	were tested: (1)			,	45° azimuth in	attenuation below 4	
	Nonoccluding			15 children	free-field.	kHz and up to 10 dB	
	lightweight			(aged 5-13		at high frequencies.	
	headphones, (2)			years) with	Ear canal size		
	tube-fitting, (3)			normal pinna	was measured	CROS and snap-ring	
	CROS* earmold			and middle	by taking cross-	with vent ear molds	
	with tubing, (4)			ear function	section of the	provided significant	
	snap-ring earmold				earmold	attenuation at the	
	with a vent, and (5)			Children	impression 5	higher frequencies	
	CROS earmold			divided into 3	millimeters	with the greatest	
	with a snap-ring.			groups: 5–7	medial to the	attenuation (20–30	
				years, 8-10	point	dB) at 3 kHz.	
	All 5 tested with			years, and	corresponding		
	children; first 4 also			11–13 years	to the entrance	Frequencies of 2.4 to	
	tested in adults				of the ear	4.2 kHz were affected	
					canal.	most by occlusion.	
	Attenuation						
	measured from						
	coupling devices at						
	17 frequencies						
	presented at						
	45° azimuth in free-						
	field.						

<sup>\*</sup> CROS = Contralateral routing of signal; kHz = kilohertz; dB = decibel

DEFEDENCE	DEGLOV	RECRUIT-	CASE	0110 15050	ASSESSMENT	DE0111 TO	AUTHOR'S
REFERENCE	DESIGN	MENT	DEFINITION	SUBJECTS	TOOLS	RESULTS	CONCLUSIONS
McKay S. To	Questionnaire,	Audiology	$UHL^* = 25-65$	Total: N = 20	Children fitted with	Responses to	In this study,
aid or not to	retrospective,	department at	dB* (Hz* not		a HA* then parents	each of the	children seem to
aid: children	descriptive.	the Children's	reported)	With UHL: N =	completed a survey	questions	respond well to
with unilateral		Hospital of		20	that evaluated:	were	HA amplification
hearing loss.		Philadelphia.			-attention span,	generally	in the affected
Healthy				Controls: N/A	-ability to follow	neutral or	ear.
Hearing. 2002.		(20 of 28			directions,	positive.	
http://www.heal		completed the		2-17 years.	-frustration level,		According to the
thyhearing.com		questionnaire).			-ability to		survey, parents
/library/article_c				Degree of	understand TV,		reported their
ontent.asp?arti				hearing loss	-response to		children were
<u>cle_id=163</u>				ranged from	being called from		hearing better,
				mild-	another room,		and were
				moderately	-ability to		showing
				severe.	understand		improvement in
					speech in noise,		academic and
					-confidence level,		social situations.
					-child's enjoyment		
					of the device, and		Overall there
					-the parents'		appeared to be a
					satisfaction with		benefit from
					their decision to		amplification and
					aid the child.		this study
							recommended
					Used Lickert scale		fitting for this
					from survey results.		mild-moderate
							UHL population
							on a trial basis.

<sup>\*</sup> UHL = unilateral hearing loss; dB = decibel; Hz = hertz; HA = hearing aid

REFERENCE	DESIGN	RECRUIT- MENT	CASE DEFINITION	SUBJECTS	ASSESSMENT TOOLS	RESULTS	AUTHOR'S CONCLUSIONS
Updike CD.	Individual	Not	PTA* = .25–4	Total: N = 6	Goldman-Fristoe	HA* use, FM*	FM trainers might
			FTA = .25-4   kHz*	10tal. IN = 0	Woodcock Test		provide benefit for
Comparison	subject	reported.	KITZ	With UHL:		trainers, and CROS*	children with all levels
of FM auditory	analyses		Normali 25 dD*	N = 6	of Auditory Discrimination	hearing aids showed	of UHL.
trainers,	and group		Normal: <25 dB* HL*	N = 0	was used to		OI UHL.
CROS aids,	comparisons		NL	Controls:		improvement in	CM trainers provided
and personal amplification	across conditions.		Hearing loss = at	N = 0	evaluate speech and sound	quiet conditions (ambient room noise	FM trainers provided better benefit than
•	conditions.		least 3 threshold	N = U		`	CROS or conventional
in unilaterally			levels >25 dB HL	3 male; 3	discrimination.	about 25 dB SPL).	
hearing			levels >25 db fil	· ·	Children selected	CROS aids and	HAs for subjects with UHL.
impaired children. J Am			UHL*: Normal	female		conventional HA	UNL.
				A and E	1 of 4 pictures after listening to		The benefit of FM over
Acad Audiol.			hearing in one ear and mild-	Aged 5		showed either no	
1994;5(3):204				years, 10	the auditory	difference or a	HAs and CROS aids
<b>-</b> 9.			profound loss in contralateral ear	months –12	stimulus.	decrease in performance with	became greater in the presence of
			contralateral ear	years, 11	O tama mlayawa		
			Mild, DTA 07 dD	months.	3 tape players	the signal in noise	background noise or
			Mild: PTA 37 dB	4 children	were used to	condition.	low SNRs.
			(N = 1)		present the	Only ENAtonian	The fireding resembles
			Moderate: PTA	performing at	speech signal at	Only FM trainer	The finding regarding benefit of FM for
			42 dB (N = 1)	grade level,	77 dB SPL* and	showed	
			Moderate-	although 2	speech noise at	improvement for all	children with mild UHL
			Severe: PTA 63	were	71 dB SPL	conditions and	was contrary to that
			dB (N = 1)	reported to	creating a SNR*	levels of hearing	reported in Kenworthy
			Severe: PTA 85	have	of +6 to simulate	impairment.	et al (1990). However,
			dB (N = 1)	behavior	a classroom	D () ( E14 )	the discrepancy might
			Profound: PTA	difficulties.	noise situation.	Benefit of FM in	have been due to
			110+ dB (N = 2)	0 4 4 4		noise increased as	different test materials
				2 children		degree of UHL	used in each study.
			All had normal	had repeated		increased.	
			tympanograms.	a grade.			
						Subject with mild	
						UHL showed	
						improvement with	
						the FM trainer.	

<sup>\*</sup> PTA = pure tone average; kHz = kilohertz; dB = decibel; HL = hearing level; UHL = unilateral hearing loss; SPL = sound pressure level; SNR = signal-to-noise ratio; HA = hearing aid; FM = frequency modulated; CROS = contralateral routing of signal